

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to FORCE their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get the personal objective use by these giants. I understand that such ONE-SIDED use of the airwaves is in violation of their license. It is the FCC RESPONSIBILITY to either prevent such action or assure a balanced opposition view in equal time slots are available.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.